W phe

ANNEX I TO THE MINUTES OF THE MASTER'S JURY FOR ACCESS AND ADMISSION TO THE MASTER'S DEGREE COURSE IN PRODUCT DESIGN ENGINEERING OF THE SCHOOL OF TECHNOLOGY AND MANAGEMENT OF THE POLYTECHNIC OF LEIRIA

	ADMITTED CANDIDATES				
Order	Name	Grade (0 to 200)	Result (Placed/Not placed)		
1	Diego Fernando Teran Pazmiño	193.6	Placed		
2	Maiara Rodrigues Monteiro	186.5	Placed		
3	Jean Carlo Giler Santana	179.0	Placed		
4	Paulo André Da Fonseca Brites	179.0	Placed		
5	Rodolfo Neves Duro	179.0	Placed		

## **Observations:**

The candidate not placed due to lack of vacancy, has the possibility to carry over the application to the 2nd phase under the terms referred to in number 5.3 of the Notice.

	S AT NUMBER 2.1 (E) OF THE NOTICE NOT PLACED FOR THE "PUBLICATION OF RESULTS" AND THAT MAY TRAN STAGE (Number 5.3 of the NOTIC	ISIT THE APPLICATION TO THE 2nd
Order	Name	Grade (0 to 200)
1	Filipe Garcia Roma	100
1	Zeno David Vorderwuelbecke	100
1	Bjoern Martin Haecker	100
1	Vitória Nunes Martins	100

EXCLUDED CANDIDATES			
Name	Justification		
Abiodun Adeoba Emmanuel	6)		
Anastasia Bando	6)		
Benjamin Olusegun	3)		
Manav Kumar	6)		
Matthew Labay	6)		
Rachel Djonyabe Habiba	6)		
Ravinder Kumar	6)		
Williams Furtado Oliveira	6)		

s of Engineering and related

## Justifications:

- 1) Does not prove to hold a Bachelor's degree or legal equivalent in the areas of Engineering and related areas, Industrial Design or Product Design.
- 2) Does not prove to hold a foreign higher academic degree, conferred following a 1st cycle of studies organized according to the principles of the Bologna Process by a State that adheres to this Process in the areas of Engineering and related areas, Industrial Design or Product Design.
- 3) The foreign higher academic degree that he or she holds has not been recognized as fulfilling the objectives of the degree in the areas of Engineering and related areas, Industrial Design or Product Design, by the school's technical-scientific council.
- 4) The academic, scientific or professional curriculum vitae that the student holds was not recognized as attesting the capacity to carry out this cycle of studies by the technical-scientific council of the school.
- 5) After applying the formula of numbers 4.1. and 4.2. of the Notice the candidate did not obtain a classification equal to or greater than 95 points, on a numerical integer scale of 0 to 200.
- 6) The candidate did not submit the required documents for the purpose of the application file or they were not duly certified.